

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,929	07/13/2001	Jayme Edwards	01SW076	2353
7590 12/30/2005		EXAMINER		
William R. Walbrun, Esq. Rockwell Automation 1201 South Second Street, 8-T29 Milwaukee, WI 53204			LE, HIEU C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/904,929	EDWARDS, JAYME			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Hieu c. Le	2142			

	Hieu c. Le	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2005 FAILS TO PL			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but price this application, applicant must timely file one of places the application in condition for allowance a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in a time periods: 	or to or on the same day as filing fithe following replies: (1) an amon; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeompliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba endment, affidavit, or other evide peal fee) in compliance with 37 C The reply must be filed within one	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing da no event, however, will the statutory period for rep Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Se	te of this Advisory Action, or (2) the oly expire later than SIX MONTHS fr box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (e MPEP 706.07(f).	date set forth in the final rejection, whom the mailing date of the final reject b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	tion. FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the punder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration diset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF NOTICE OF APPEAL	eriod of extension and the correspor ate of the shortened statutory period Office later than three months after t	nding amount of the fee. The appropal for reply originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMEDITED. 	any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of he appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS		Slice a buick will not be entered by	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final r They raise new issues that would require f They raise the issue of new matter (see N 	further consideration and/or sea		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the applicate appeal; and/or		materially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without car NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4		of finally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37		e of Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re			,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo non-allowable claim(s).		a separate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be reject The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ted is provided below or append		explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: NONE.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-15,21-29 and 31-35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final a because applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e	good and sufficient reasons wh		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is 	failed to overcome all rejections	under appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An e REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	xplanation of the status of the cl	aims after entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been cons See Continuation Sheet. 	idered but does NOT place the a	application in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure State13. ☐ Other:		149) Paper No(s) Indul Caldwell	
		,	
	SUP	ANDREW CALDWELL ERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN	VED

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive: As to claims 1-9,11-15,21-29 and 31-35, Applicant alleges that "Crater fails to teach [,]", (p. 7 line 10- p. 9, line 24). The Examiner disagrees. Firstly, Carter et al. discloses an applet (an instruction that preserves an instance of a software object) transmitted by the controller with the web page to the client (col.7, lines 7-10), i.e. the applet is on the web server. the applet is capable of updating the viewer's display every 15 sec (i.e., persistence instruction). the applet causes the browser to communicate with the server controller every 15 sec to obtain new Cap-Time data (i.e., the persistent applet causes communication after session cessation every 15 sec.) (col. 20, lines 26-37).